Equality Impact Assessment [version 2.9]



Title: P13 Keeping Families Together		
⊠Budget Proposal	□ Changing	
Directorate: People Lead Officer name: Fiona Tudge		
Service Area: Children and Families and Safer Lead Officer role: Director of Children		
Communities	Services	

Step 1: What do we want to do?

The purpose of an Equality Impact Assessment is to assist decision makers in understanding the impact of proposals as part of their duties under the Equality Act 2010. Detailed guidance to support completion can be found here Equality Impact Assessments (EqIA) (sharepoint.com).

This assessment should be started at the beginning of the process by someone with a good knowledge of the proposal and service area, and sufficient influence over the proposal. It is good practice to take a team approach to completing the equality impact assessment. Please contact the <u>Equality and Inclusion Team</u> early for advice and feedback.

1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpose of this proposal?

Briefly explain the purpose of the proposal and why it is needed. Describe who it is aimed at and the intended aims / outcomes. Where known also summarise the key actions you plan to undertake. Please use <u>plain English</u>, avoiding jargon and acronyms. Equality Impact Assessments are viewed by a wide range of people including decision-makers and the wider public.

Budget context:

Bristol City Council is required by law to set a balanced budget however we face a large potential gap in our core budget next year. With such a significant challenge the budget cannot be balanced without additional funding, making greater efficiencies (doing the same for less money) or by transforming the way we do things.

The Council has defined statutory responsibilities, but deliver against a far broader agenda, providing universal services benefiting the whole community, and targeted services aimed at individuals, communities with particular needs, and businesses – administered by our workforce, city partners, stakeholder organisations and commissioned services.

The COVID-19 pandemic has been far reaching, with a lasting impact on our people and our economy. Our finances are stretched to the limit, and the UK Government has stopped funding local COVID-19 responses. Up and down the country councils are facing this funding crisis with less money to keep services going. This is because more money is needed to: help citizens with the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic; support low-income households and local businesses in need of support post-COVID-19; support more people than ever with mental health and social care services; and meet the rising need and cost of home to school transport for children with special educational needs and disabilities (SEND) etc. At the same time, the pandemic saw us receive less income from business rates, commercial rentals, parking, sports facilities, and our museums, shops and cafes.

The <u>Medium Term Financial Plan</u> underpins the Council's financial planning process and outlines the approach we will take to meet the challenges presented by focusing primarily on delivering efficiencies, service re-design programmes which cut across directorate boundaries, and increasing external income and Invest to Save revenue.

This proposal:

This proposal relates to children and families where families may be struggling to care for their children and/or to provide good enough care. These families may need a high level of support to heal their own rifts, to deal with domestic abuse or other harms or to recognise that their parenting is impacted by their own poor experiences of being parented. Sometimes, families may face significant external influence in the form of exploitation or extra-familial harm that they do not have the skills to manage and to keep their children safe.

The proposal is to review our Children's workforce using evidence based models to re-structure existing staff and/or to bring in partner skills in supporting families through a more joined up approach to understanding need.

To support families within the community, by the community, we would like to work with a voluntary sector organisation, such as Safe Lives that utilises multi-faith volunteers to wrap around families, to mentor, befriend and to provide support and respite. Whilst this particular organisation is Christian, it is committed to equality and diversity and actively recruits volunteers to the profile of the population. This approach is being used effectively in other Local Authorities and is valued by the families using it and by the LAs who work with Safe Families to ensure safeguarding is reviewed on a ongoing basis.

There may be other evidence-based interventions or programmes that would fit our Bristol population of families on the edge of care, and we will undertake a full needs assessment for this cohort before exploring the best interventions to meet their particular needs with the aim of helping families to stay together in spite of difficulties they may be experiencing. Where possible, we would like to use Bristol-based, community-embedded organisations with authentic links into communities and other Bristol services to enable children and families to be supported without ongoing support from statutory services. This would align with our work with the community and voluntary sector and in particular with our commitment to commissioning and supporting Black led organisations.

1.2 Who will the proposal have the potential to affect?

☑ Bristol City Council workforce	⊠ Service users	☐ The wider community
	☐ City partners / Stakeholder organisations	
Additional comments:		

1.3 Will the proposal have an equality impact?

Could the proposal affect access levels of representation or participation in a service, or does it have the potential to change e.g. quality of life: health, education, or standard of living etc.?

If 'No' explain why you are sure there will be no equality impact, then skip steps 2-4 and request review by Equality and Inclusion Team.

If 'Yes' complete the rest of this assessment, or if you plan to complete the assessment at a later stage please state this clearly here and request review by the Equality and Inclusion Team.

oxtimes Yes $oxtimes$ No	[please select]
--------------------------	-----------------

Step 2: What information do we have?

2.1 What data or evidence is there which tells us who is, or could be affected?

Please use this section to demonstrate an understanding of who could be affected by the proposal. Include general population data where appropriate, and information about people who will be affected with particular reference to protected and other relevant characteristics: https://www.bristol.gov.uk/people-communities/measuring-equalities-success.

Use one row for each evidence source and say which characteristic(s) it relates to. You can include a mix of qualitative and quantitative data e.g. from national or local research, available data or previous consultations and engagement activities.

Outline whether there is any over or under representation of equality groups within relevant services - don't forget to benchmark to the local population where appropriate. Links to available data and reports are here <u>Data, statistics</u> and intelligence (sharepoint.com). See also: <u>Bristol Open Data (Quality of Life, Census etc.)</u>; <u>Joint Strategic Needs</u>
Assessment (JSNA); Ward Statistical Profiles.

For workforce / management of change proposals you will need to look at the diversity of the affected teams using available evidence such as HR Analytics: Power BI Reports (sharepoint.com) which shows the diversity profile of council teams and service areas. Identify any over or under-representation compared with Bristol economically active citizens for different characteristics. Additional sources of useful workforce evidence include the Employee Staff Survey Report and Stress Risk Assessment Form

Data / Evidence Source	Summary of what this tells us
[Include a reference where known]	Summary of what this tens us
Census 2011 and Census 2021	The Census details the demographic profile of Bristol. The first results of the 2021 census will not be
2011 Census Key Statistics About Equalities	available until Spring 2022, so demographic data is still
Communities	informed by 2011 census and other population related
	documents (listed below)
The population of Bristol	Updated annually. The report brings together statistics
	on the current estimated population of Bristol, recent
	trends in population, future projections and looks at
	the key characteristics of the people living in Bristol.
New wards: data profiles	The Ward Profiles provide a range of data-sets,
	including Population, Life Expectancy, health and
Ward Profiles - Power BI tool	education disparities etc. for each of Bristol's
	electoral wards.
Bristol Quality of Life survey 2020/21 final report	The Quality of Life (QoL) survey is an annual
	randomised sample survey of the Bristol population,
Quality of Life 2020-21 — Open Data Bristol	mailed to 33,000 households (with online & paper
	options), and some additional targeting to boost
	numbers from low responding groups. In brief, the
	2020 QoL survey indicated that inequality and
	deprivation continue to affect people's experience in
	almost every element measured by the survey.
	The Open Data 'Equalities View' tool shows at a glance
	the disparities for each Quality of Life indicator based
	on people's characteristics and circumstances
	including protected characteristics, caring
	responsibility, tenancy, education level, and
	deprivation.

Citizens' Assembly

The citizens' assembly is composed of 60 randomly selected participants. The group reflects as far as possible the diversity of the population in terms of age, sex, ethnicity, disability, employment status, and geographical location. Bristol Citizens' Assembly was part of a process created by the city of Bristol to gather public input to inform its COVID-19 recovery plan.

Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA)

JSNA Health and Wellbeing Profile 2022/23 Childrens Social Care The Joint Strategic Needs Assessment reports on the health and wellbeing needs of the people of Bristol. It brings together detailed information on local health and wellbeing needs and looks ahead at emerging challenges and projected future needs. The JSNA is used to provide a comprehensive picture of the health and wellbeing needs of Bristol (now and in the future); inform decisions about how we design, commission and deliver services, and also about how the urban environment is planned and managed; improve and protect health and wellbeing outcomes across the city while reducing health inequalities; and provide partner organisations with information on the changing health and wellbeing needs of Bristol, at a local level, to support better service delivery.

Children may become involved with Social Care for a variety of reasons, but the proportion who have a primary category of abuse and neglect, especially among looked after children, is considerably higher than those who have other primary categories.

Of the children in care, 56% are boys and 44% are girls. Just under two-thirds of children in care (65%) are of White ethnicity. 38% of children in care were from the 'most deprived 10%' areas of Bristol, as at the end of March 2022.

At the end of March 2022, the number of children recorded as being subject of a Child Protection Plan had increased to 258, compared with 215 at the end of March 2021. As a rate per thousand of all children the highest rate was Filwood (12 per 1,000). In March 2022 the split was girls (48%), boys (51%), and the remaining 1% of children were unborn. 79% of the children subject to protection plans were of White ethnicity at the end of March 2022, an increase on March 2021 (70%). Nearly a over half of children (51%) subject to a child protection plan as at the end of March 2022 up from just under a third (31%) at the end of March 2021, were from the 'most deprived 10%' areas of Bristol and over three quarters of children (77%) were from the 'most deprived 30%' areas of Bristol. 4% of children involved with Child in Need or Child Protection are children with disabilities. Between January 2022 and December 2022, there were 124 families where care proceedings were issued.

Multiple sources of data and evidence have highlighted the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on equalities communities, and the impact of measures taken to address this. This final report highlights the government response to the original recommendations and the long lasting 'take homes'. This highlights the importance of not treating ethnic minorities like a homogenous group and nurturing existing local partnerships and networks for public health programmes. It also gives recommendations around communications, developing and providing materials in multiple languages and working with community partnerships to improve understanding and co-create content for key audiences.
The Workforce Diversity Report shows Bristol City Council Workforce Diversity statistics for Headcount, Sickness, Starters and Leavers data. The report is updated once a month with data as at the end of the previous month. It excludes data for Locally Managed Schools/Nurseries, Councillors, Casual, Seasonal and
External Agency employees. The report is based on the sensitive information that staff add to Employee Self Service on iTrent (ESS). In BCC Children's Services overall women and older employees are overrepresented. In BCC Targeted Services – older employees, women, White British, and Black/Black British employees are overrepresented
Local research has highlighted how long- term underinvestment and lack of equity in funding and procurement has eroded the local Voluntary and community sector – in particular for Black and minority ethnic led organisations. 30% of the organisations surveyed stated to operate on an annual budget below £5,000, and an additional 18% operated on below £25,000. 42% of the organisations sampled had no paid staff at all and fully relied on volunteers to deliver their activities and services.

2.2 Do you currently monitor relevant activity by the following protected characteristics?

⊠ Age	□ Disability	□ Gender Reassignment
	☑ Pregnancy/Maternity	⊠ Race
□ Religion or Belief	⊠ Sex	

2.3 Are there any gaps in the evidence base?

Where there are gaps in the evidence, or you don't have enough information about some equality groups, include an equality action to find out in section 4.2 below. This doesn't mean that you can't complete the assessment without the information, but you need to follow up the action and if necessary, review the assessment later. If you are unable to fill in the gaps, then state this clearly with a justification.

For workforce related proposals all relevant characteristics may not be included in HR diversity reporting (e.g. pregnancy/maternity). For smaller teams diversity data may be redacted. A high proportion of not known/not disclosed may require an action to address under-reporting.

There remains some evaluation of evidence based programmes to complete.

2.4 How have you involved communities and groups that could be affected?

You will nearly always need to involve and consult with internal and external stakeholders during your assessment. The extent of the engagement will depend on the nature of the proposal or change. This should usually include individuals and groups representing different relevant protected characteristics. Please include details of any completed engagement and consultation and how representative this had been of Bristol's diverse communities. See https://www.bristol.gov.uk/people-communities/equalities-groups.

Include the main findings of any engagement and consultation in Section 2.1 above.

If you are managing a workforce change process or restructure please refer to Managing change or restructure (sharepoint.com) for advice on consulting with employees etc. Relevant stakeholders for engagement about workforce changes may include e.g. staff-led groups and trades unions as well as affected staff.

The proposals would develop greater provision for children and families on the edge of care, requiring some changes to workforce potentially as well as commissioning services. Staff would be consulted on any new proposals that could change the way that they work, but this proposal envisages a wider role for the VCS workforce who would then be engaged to work alongside the BCC workforce through clear terms of contract.

2.5 How will engagement with stakeholders continue?

Explain how you will continue to engage with stakeholders throughout the course of planning and delivery. Please describe where more engagement and consultation is required and set out how you intend to undertake it. Include any targeted work to seek the views of under-represented groups. If you do not intend to undertake it, please set out your justification. You can ask the Equality and Inclusion Team for help in targeting particular groups.

All responses to the Budget Consultation will be analysed and included in a report that will be published on the Bristol City Council website in early 2022. We will take Budget consultation responses into account when developing our final proposals to put to the Cabinet and a meeting of the Full Council for approval. The final decision will be taken by Full Council at its budget setting meeting in February 2022.

Step 3: Who might the proposal impact?

Analysis of impacts must be rigorous. Please demonstrate your analysis of any impacts of the proposal in this section, referring to evidence you have gathered above, and the characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010. Also include details of existing issues for particular groups that you are aware of and are seeking to address or mitigate through this proposal. See detailed guidance documents for advice on identifying potential impacts etc. Equality Impact Assessments (EqIA) (sharepoint.com)

3.1 Does the proposal have any potentially adverse impacts on people based on their protected or other relevant characteristics?

Consider sub-categories (different kinds of disability, ethnic background etc.) and how people with combined characteristics (e.g. young women) might have particular needs or experience particular kinds of disadvantage.

Where mitigations indicate a follow-on action, include this in the 'Action Plan' Section 4.2 below.

GENERAL COMMENTS (highlight any potential issues that might impact all or many groups)

Even when we plan to consult in more detail on specific service delivery proposals at a later time, we must ensure that any budget setting decisions that are likely to affect future services are informed by sufficient consultation and proper analysis. This is so that decision makers can have due regard to any likely disproportionate or negative

impact for citizens, service users or employees on the basis of their protected and other relevant characteristics at the time the budget is approved – not afterwards¹.

Decision makers will have the ability to make changes to the individual spending plans following further consultation as appropriate and detailed evaluation of the impact of specific proposals. Within the proposed budget envelope there will be financial mitigation put aside for any non-delivery or amendments to proposals which may occur due to future consideration of equalities issues or other factors.

As well as identifying whether budget changes will have a disproportionate impact on particular groups (e.g. because they are over-represented in a particular cohort of affected service users), we need to pay particular attention to the risk of indirect discrimination: when an apparently neutral decision puts members of a given group at a particular disadvantage compared with other people because of their different needs and circumstances.

Because the underlying reasons for the Council's budget deficit are very far reaching and likely to impact other public bodies and providers - we need to avoid making any assumptions that people's needs will still be met by other / external provision if we reduce or decommission our existing services.

We are also aware of existing structural inequalities and particular considerations, issues and disparities for people in Bristol based on their characteristics, which we have taken into account in making this budget proposal (detailed below).

Children and families with a high level of need are likely to live in more deprived areas of the City and be most impacted by the cost of living crisis.

The proposal does not reduce or change the roles of existing workforce but seeks to add services to support families. In order to do this, we propose the development of a full needs assessment of the cohort we want to help, to include a review of Child Protection conference audits and a service review that give views of families who have been subject to plans. We also have experts by experience working alongside us in the service who can support this work.

PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS **Age: Young People** Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes oxtimes No oxtimesPotential impacts: Young people are often under-represented in engagement and consultation and in Bristol are less satisfied than average with the way the council runs things. Children and young people in Bristol are considerably more ethnically diverse than the overall population of Bristol. Children and young people from the most deprived areas of Bristol have the poorest outcomes in health and education in terms of health, education and future employment etc. Young people in Bristol are more likely to: o have poor emotional health and wellbeing o find inaccessible public transport prevents them from leaving their home when they want to 6.8% of 16-17 year olds (2020/21) were "not in education, employment or training" (NEET) Young adults are most likely to have lost work or seen their income drop because of COVID-19 Mitigations: See general comments above **Age: Older People** Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ☐ No ☒ Potential impacts: Older people in Bristol are: Less likely to be comfortable using digital services o more reliant on public and community transport more likely to be an unpaid carer

¹ Bristol judgment clarifies Councils' Budget consultation duties — The Consultation Institute

	o more likely to help out or volunteer in their community
	 less likely to have formal qualifications Bristol Ageing Better says at least 11,000 older people are experiencing
	isolation in the city.
	We must factor aging and the needs of older people into long term budgeting
	and service design
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Disability	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ☐ No ☒
Potential impacts:	17% of Bristol's population are disabled. There are more disabled women than
	men living in Bristol.
	The UK Disability pay gap is 20% (2020)
	There is a disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on disabled people The lived experience of disabled people during the COVID-19 pandemic - GOV.UK
	(www.gov.uk)
	 Disabled people are less likely to be employed in a managerial or professional occupation
	 22% of disabled people aged over 16 are economically active in Bristol compared with 70% of the general population, and are more likely to work part time.
	Disability increases with age: 4.1% of all children, for the working age
	population it increases to 12.3% and for people aged 65 and over it increases to 55.9%.
	 Disabled people on average have lower qualification levels than the population as a whole.
	 A higher proportion of disabled people rent from a social provider (local authority or housing association)
	Disabled people have lower car ownership levels
	Disabled people experience higher rates of hate crime and domestic abuse compared to the general population
	Disabled people should be empowered to make independent living choices and
	a have a say in access to service provision.
	 Budget setting needs to provide sufficient resource and flexibility to meet our legal duty to make anticipatory and responsive reasonable adjustments for disabled people including:
	 changing the way things are done e.g. opening / working times; changes to overcome barriers created by the physical features of premises.
	 providing auxiliary aids e.g. extra equipment or a different or additional service.
	 is 'anticipatory' so we must think in advance and ongoing about what disabled people might reasonably need.
	 Disabled people must not be charged for their reasonable adjustments,
	accessible formats or other adaptations. It is a legal requirement under the
	Equalities Act to ensure information is accessible to disabled employees and
Mitigations	Service users.
Mitigations: Sex	See general comments above Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ⊠ No □
Potential impacts:	Nationally the gender pay gap was 15.5% in 2020. This shows the relative pay
i otentiai impacts.	gap between female and male employees and how the balance of pay is
	distributed in an organisation irrespective of equal pay for job roles.
	Women still bear the majority of caring responsibilities for both children and
	older relatives.
	Women are more likely to be excluded from conversations which affect decision making due to lack of representation in boards / organisational loadership.
	leadership.

	 Services and workplace requirements may not take into consideration the impact of women's reproductive life course including menstruation, avoiding pregnancy, pregnancy, childbirth, breastfeeding, and menopause. Young women between the ages of 16 and 24 have higher risk of common mental health problems and higher rates of self-harm and post-traumatic stress disorder etc. Bristol female preventable mortality rates are significantly higher than the England rates Nationally 27% of women experience domestic abuse in their lifetimes. The rate of recorded domestic abuse incidents in Bristol has shown a significant rise over the last two years and 74% of victims were female. Men and boy's health is in general poorer than that of women and girl's Male life expectancy at birth in Bristol is around four years less than for females. On average men in Bristol live 18 years in poor health, women live 22 years in poor health A higher proportion of boys have physical impairments and more boys than girls have diagnosed mental health disorders and learning difficulties. Men in Bristol are more likely than women to have unhealthy lifestyle behaviours including being overweight and obese, smoking, alcohol and substance misuse There are differences between men and women in health practices and the way they use health services Men are three times more likely than women to take their own lives.
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Sexual orientation	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ☐ No ☒
Potential impacts:	 Lesbian, gay and bisexual people are statistically more vulnerable to verbal and physical abuse 1 in 5 Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Trans (LGBT) staff have been the target of negative comments or conduct from work colleagues in the last year because they're LGBT. More than a third of LGBT staff have hidden or disguised that they're LGBT at work in the last year because they were afraid of discrimination. 1 in 10 Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic LGBT staff have similarly been physically attacked because of their sexual orientation and /or gender identity, compared to 3% of White LGBT staff One in four lesbian and bisexual women have experienced domestic abuse in a relationship, one third of them were abused by a man. Almost half of all gay and bisexual men have experienced at least one incident of domestic abuse from either a family member or a partner since the age of 16. Research shows LGBT people face widespread discrimination in healthcare settings and one in seven LGBT people avoid seeking healthcare for fear of discrimination from staff The Stonewall LGBT in Britain - Health Report shows LGBT people are at greater risk of marginalisation during health crises, and those with multiple marginalised identities can struggle even more. In communications we should signpost and refer where possible to mutual aid and community support networks². Research has shown that LGBT people are more likely to be living with long-term health conditions, are more likely to smoke, and have higher rates of drug and alcohol use. Half of LGBT people experienced depression in the last year 14% of LGBT people have avoided treatment for fear of discrimination because they are LGBT.
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Pregnancy / Maternity	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$

Potential impacts:	The Equality Act 2010 applies to those who are pregnant or have given birth in the past 26 weeks, as well as making provisions to protect the rights of
	 breastfeeding mothers. Around 80% of women will give birth and many women will also experience termination, miscarriage and stillbirth
	 In the workplace we need to ensure equal access to recruitment, personal development, promotion and retention for employees who are pregnant or on maternity leave (including briefing and updates for any workforce changes) Ensure there is equality of opportunity for services in relation to pregnancy and
	maternity. This includes e.g. providing physical access when using prams and pushchairs, and availability of toilets and baby-changing facilities etc., and flexible working patterns and service times for childcare arrangements
	Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic women more likely to experience complications at birth
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Gender reassignment	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\sigma\)
Potential impacts:	As sexual orientation above Transgender people are statistically more
Fotential impacts.	vulnerable to verbal and physical abuse. Transgender people regularly face
	prejudice and discrimination because of the way in which they transgress many of the norms of our culture and society.
	1 in 8 Trans people (12%) in the workplace have been physically attacked by customers or colleagues in the last year because they were Trans
Mitigations	
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Race	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ⊠ No □
Potential impacts:	Black, Asian and minority ethnic people are disproportionately impacted by COVID-19
	Ethnic minorities in Bristol experience greater disadvantage than in England and Wales as a whole in education and employment and this is particularly so for
	 Black African people². In the last census (2011) 16% of the population belonged to a Black, Asian or minority ethnic group and this is likely to be higher now.
	 The top three countries of birth outside UK for Bristol residents are Poland, Somalia and India.
	Although the race or ethnicity pay gap has narrowed in recent years there are
	still wide pay differences between particular ethnic groups and most minority ethnic groups earn less on average than White British people.
	Bangladeshi, Pakistani, and Black ethnic groups are more likely to live in
	deprived neighbourhoods; and the same groups and Chinese ethnicities are about twice as likely to live on a low income and experience child poverty compared to White groups
	Black, Asian and minority ethnic households are less likely to own their home and more likely to living in overcrowded housing and intergenerational households. Bangladeshi and Pakistani groups are more likely to live in multi-
	family households. Black people in the UK are less likely to hold a driving licence and more likely to
	rely on public transport. Black Asian and minority ethnic groups in Bristol are more likely to find inaccessible public transport prevents them from leaving their home when they
	 want to Black African young people are disadvantaged in education compared to their White peers⁸. A disproportionately high percentage of Bristol school pupils
	from Black, Asian and minority ethnic backgrounds are excluded from school and In Bristol pupils with the lowest 'Attainment 8' scores are from Black ethnic background (highest from Chinese ethnic background.)

² CoDE Briefing Bristol v2.pdf (runnymedetrust.org)

Mitigations: Religion or Belief Potential impacts:	 Organisations may lack cultural competence because Black, Asian and minority staff are under- represented. People from Black African, Other, and Black Caribbean groups have persistently high levels of unemployment and almost all ethnic minority groups in Bristol experience employment inequality when compared to White British people. Black Asian and minority ethnic groups are more likely to be self-employed than the Bristol average and over-represented in low income self-employment including taxis, takeaway restaurants Black Asian and minority ethnic people are underrepresented in political and civic leadership. People who do not speak English as a main language may require information in plain English and community language translations or videos etc. See general comments above Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ☒ No ☐ There are at least 45 religions represented in Bristol. Approximately 1 in 20 people in Bristol are Muslims, and Islam is the second religion in Bristol after Christianity Budget proposals should take into account differing needs because of people's religion and belief (for example different requirements around diet, life events, and holidays) Having a designated multi-faith room can make environments such as
	workplaces and shopping centres is more accessible and friendly for people
	from faith groups where regular prayer is required.
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Marriage &	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes □ No ☒
civil partnership	
Potential impacts:	
Mitigations:	
OTHER RELEVANT CHAR	ACTERISTICS
Socio-Economic (deprivation)	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$
Potential impacts:	 Bristol has 41 areas in the most deprived 10% in England, including 3 in the most deprived 1%. The greatest levels of deprivation are in Hartcliffe & Withywood, Filwood and Lawrence Hill. In Bristol 15% of residents - 70,800 people - live in the 10% most deprived areas in England, including 19,000 children and 7,800 older people. 9.8% (approximately 19,572 households) of all households in Bristol are living in fuel poverty (BEIS, 2020) 4.2% of households have experienced moderate to severe food insecurity, rising to 13% in the most deprived areas of the city (QoL 2020-21) 25% of people in Bristol are dissatisfied with the way the Council runs things, but this is 43% for people living in the most deprived areas of the city (QoL 2020-21). The inequalities gap in life expectancy between the most and least deprived areas in Bristol is 9.6 years for men and 7.2 years for women.
Mitigations:	See general comments above
Carers	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$
Potential impacts:	 Being a carer can be a huge barrier to accessing services and maintaining employment We need to consider the timing/availability of services, events etc. to allow flexibility for carers. As with Disability and Pregnancy and Maternity – policies which aim to restrict driving or parking can have a disproportionate impact on people who are reliant on having their own transport.

 Studies show around 65% of adults have provided unpaid care for a loved Women have a 50% likelihood of being an unpaid carer by the age of 46 (l 		
	57 for men)	
	 Young carers are often hidden and may not recognise themselves as carers 	
	Foung carers are often modern and may not recognise themselves as carers_	
Mitigations:	See general comments above	
Other groups [Please add additional rows below to detail the impact for other relevant groups as appropriate e.g.		
Asylums and Refugees; Looked after Children / Care Leavers; Homelessness]		
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		

3.2 Does the proposal create any benefits for people based on their protected or other relevant characteristics?

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support our <u>Public Sector Equality Duty</u> to:

- ✓ Eliminate unlawful discrimination for a protected group
- ✓ Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't
- ✓ Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't

This proposal will align with the Children's Belonging Strategy and contribute to a balanced budget. The proposal creates a positive benefit for children/young people in supporting them to remain within their family units, also for marriage/civil partnerships and for pregnancy/maternity

Step 4: Impact

4.1 How has the equality impact assessment informed or changed the proposal?

What are the main conclusions of this assessment? Use this section to provide an overview of your findings. This summary can be included in decision pathway reports etc.

If you have identified any significant negative impacts which cannot be mitigated, provide a justification showing how the proposal is proportionate, necessary, and appropriate despite this.

Summary of significant negative impacts and how they can be mitigated or justified:

No significant negative impacts identified.

Summary of positive impacts / opportunities to promote the Public Sector Equality Duty:

Benefit for children and young people and for pregnant women and marriage/civil partnerships because it is seeking to support family life.

4.2 Action Plan

Use this section to set out any actions you have identified to improve data, mitigate issues, or maximise opportunities etc. If an action is to meet the needs of a particular protected group please specify this.

Improvement / action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale
Complete a full needs assessment of families on the edge of	Gail Rogers	June 2023
care		
Engage with potential providers in respect of the diversity of	Gail Rogers	June 2023
workforce matched to the Bristol population		
Engage with families/experts by experience to further develop		April 2023
proposals		

4.3 How will the impact of your proposal and actions be measured?

How will you know if you have been successful? Once the activity has been implemented this equality impact assessment should be periodically reviewed to make sure your changes have been effective your approach is still appropriate.

Services commissioned should meet the needs of the intended cohort and should evidence co-production

Step 5: Review

The Equality and Inclusion Team need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your EqIA. EqIAs should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the equalities impact of the proposal. Please seek feedback and review from the <u>Equality and Inclusion Team</u> before requesting sign off from your Director³.

Equality and Inclusion Team Review: Reviewed by Equality and Inclusion Team	Director Sign-Off: Franck Tudge.
Date: 17/1/2023	Date: 18/1/23

³ Review by the Equality and Inclusion Team confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely equality impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.